Cuts and Negation CS 152 -- Programming Paradigms San José State University

Michael McThrow November 17, 2021





Agenda

- Backtracking and Search Trees
- Cuts
- Negation
- Project #5 Details

Backtracking and Search Trees

Search Trees

- program P is defined as follows":
 - G is the tree's root.
 - Nodes are goals (can be conjunctive).
 - whose head unifies with the selected goal."
 - "Each branch in the tree from the root is a computation of G by P."
 - solution of the query.

According to Sterling and Shapiro, "A search tree of a goal G with respect to a

"There is an edge leading from a node N for each clause in the program

• Leaves are either success nodes or failure nodes. Each success node is a

Search Tree Example

father(abraham, isaac). male(isaac). male(lot). father(haran,lot). father(haran,milcah). female(milcah). father(haran,yiscah). female(yiscah).

 $son(X,Y) \leftarrow father(Y,X), male(X).$ daughter(X,Y) \leftarrow father(Y,X), female(X).

Program 1.2 Biblical family relationships From Sterling and Shapiro, p. 23



Figure 5.2

Two search trees

From Sterling and Shapiro, p. 111

Search Tree Example

append(Xs,Ys,XsYs) ←
 XsYs is the result of concatenating
 the lists Xs and Ys.
append([],Ys,Ys).
append([X|Xs],Ys,[X|Zs]) ← append(Xs,Ys,Zs).
Program 3.15 Appending two lists

From Sterling and Shapiro, p. 60



Figure 5.3 Search tree with multiple success nodes From Sterling and Shapiro, p. 112

Search Trees

- new clause A' from P is found.

Sometimes there can be multiple possible search trees for a search query.

 Each possible search tree depends on decisions made regarding how new elements are added to the resolvent when resolving the query and how the

Review: Resolution Algorithm

Input:

Output:

Algorithm:

A goal G and a program P or *no* otherwise

Initialize the resolvent to *G*.

Figure 4.2 An abstract interpreter for logic programs

- An instance of G that is a logical consequence of P,
- *while* the resolvent is not empty *do* choose a goal A from the resolvent choose a (renamed) clause $A' \leftarrow B_1, \ldots, B_n$ from P such that A and A' unify with mgu θ (if no such goal and clause exist, exit the *while* loop) replace A by B_1, \ldots, B_n in the resolvent apply θ to the resolvent and to G *If* the resolvent is empty, *then* output *G*, *else* output *no*.
- From Sterling and Shapiro, p. 93

Review: Resolution Algorithm (with Prolog implementation details)

A goal G and a program P Input: An instance of G that is a logical consequence of P, Output: or *no* otherwise resolvent is a stack Initialize the resolvent to G. Algorithm: *while* the resolvent is not empty *do* choose a goal A from the resolvent A = resolvent.pop()choose a (renamed) clause $A' \leftarrow B_1, \ldots, B_n$ from P choose first A' in program such that A and A' unify with mgu θ P that unifies with A (if no such goal and clause exist, exit the *while* loop) replace A by B_1, \ldots, B_n in the resolvent B1,..., BN are pushed onto the apply θ to the resolvent and to G stack *If* the resolvent is empty, *then* output *G*, *else* output *no*.

Figure 4.2 An abstract interpreter for logic programs

From Sterling and Shapiro, p. 93



Backtracking

Input:

Output:

Algorithm:

What happens when there is no A'? We **backtrack** to the last A that successfully unified. This allows us to try a different computation path. Note that backtracking is not shown Figure 4.2 in this algorithm.

A goal G and a program P An instance of G that is a logical consequence of P, or *no* otherwise Initialize the resolvent to *G*. *while* the resolvent is not empty *do* choose a goal A from the resolvent choose a (renamed) clause $A' \leftarrow B_1, \ldots, B_n$ from P such that A and A' unify with mgu θ (if no such goal and clause exist, exit the *while* loop) replace A by B_1, \ldots, B_n in the resolvent apply θ to the resolvent and to G *If* the resolvent is empty, *then* output *G*, *else* output *no*.

An abstract interpreter for logic programs

From Sterling and Shapiro, p. 93



Problems That Arise When Using Prolog

- Unnecessary backtracking in some queries.
 - This unnecessary backtracking leads to wasted computations.
- It would be nice for the programmer to be able to ignore, or "prune" branches
 of a search tree that the programmer knows are "unfruitful."
- Prolog provides such functionality by providing cuts.

Cuts

- A cut is expressed as a ! in Prolog.
- backtrack on any decision made before !.

 Whenever Prolog encounters a ! inside of a rule, this means that Prolog will commit to all of the choices made before ! appeared; the interpreter will not

Merge Example from Textbook (p. 190)

 $merge(Xs, Ys, Zs) \leftarrow$ the ordered lists of integers *Xs* and *Ys*.

merge(Xs,[],Xs). merge([],Ys,Ys).

Program 11.1 Merging ordered lists

merge([X|Xs],[Y|Ys],[X|Zs]) \leftarrow X < Y, !, merge(Xs,[Y |Ys],Zs). Replacement of first rule with an included cut

- Zs is an ordered list of integers obtained from merging
- $merge([X|Xs], [Y|Ys], [X|Zs]) \leftarrow X < Y, merge(Xs, [Y|Ys], Zs).$ $merge([X|Xs], [Y|Ys], [X, Y|Zs]) \leftarrow X = := Y, merge(Xs, Ys, Zs).$
- $merge([X|Xs], [Y|Ys], [Y|Zs]) \leftarrow X > Y, merge([X|Xs], Ys, Zs).$

Merge Example with Cuts (p. 192)

 $merge(Xs, Ys, Zs) \leftarrow$ the ordered lists of integers Xs and Ys.

 $merge([X|Xs], [Y|Ys], [X|Zs]) \leftarrow$ X < Y, !, merge(Xs, [Y|Ys],Zs).

 $merge([X|Xs], [Y|Ys], [X,Y|Zs]) \leftarrow$

X = := Y, !, merge(Xs, Ys, Zs).

 $merge([X|Xs], [Y|Ys], [Y|Zs]) \leftarrow$

X > Y, !, merge([X|Xs],Ys,Zs).

 $merge(Xs,[],Xs) \leftarrow !.$

merge([],Ys,Ys) \leftarrow !.

Program 11.2 Merging with cuts

- Zs is an ordered list of integers obtained from merging

Minimum Example with Cuts (p. 193)

$minimum(X,Y,Min) \leftarrow$ Min is the minimum of the numbers X and Y.

minimum(X,Y,X) \leftarrow X \leq Y, !. $\min(X, Y, Y) \leftarrow X > Y, !.$

Program 11.3 minimum with cuts

Green and Red Cuts

- Green cuts are used for removing unnecessary backtracking in Prolog programs.
 - All examples shown have been examples of green cuts.
 - Green cuts are less controversial.
- Red cuts are used for changing the set of goals the program can prove.
 - that could be inferred to be true" [Sterling and Shapiro, p. 203].
 - Highly controversial; USE WITH EXTREME CAUTION!

 "A standard Prolog programming technique using red cuts is the omission of explicit conditions. Knowledge of the behavior of Prolog, specifically the order in which rules are used in a program, is relied on to omit conditions

Negation

Why should Prolog programmers be cautious about negation?



Recall that in logic programming, if a query results in a "no" or "false" answer, this does not state anything about the truth of the query; it means that the interpreter failed to prove the query from the program [Sterling and Shapiro, p. 13].



However, it is convenient for programmers to express certain logical statements using negation.

Examples of Negation

- single(X) :- not married(X).
- fake(X) :- not authentic(X).
- import(X) :- not domestic(X).

The concept "negation as failure" allows us to express negation in logic programming.

According to Sterling and Shapiro, "A goal not G will be assumed to be a consequence of a program P if G is not a consequence of P" (p. 114).





Cuts can be used to implement negation as failure.

Negation as Failure

- Prolog provides a fail_if(Goal) predicate, which is equivalent to the not statement.
- Prolog also has a system predicate called fail that always fails.
- Semantics of not G [Sterling and Shapiro, p. 198]:

G succeeds and succeeds if G fails.

Let us consider the behavior of Program 11.6 in answering the query not G? The first rule applies, and G is called using the meta-variable facility. If G succeeds, the cut is encountered. The computation is then committed to the first rule, and not G fails. If the call to G fails, then the second rule of Program 11.6 is used, which succeeds. Thus not G fails if

Project #5 Details

Project #5 Details

- You will be implementing a simple Prolog interpreter.
 - in the first 5-6 chapters of *The Art of Prolog*.
 - unification algorithms from the textbook.
- Choices of programming languages for implementation:

 - banned).
- not in the first six chapters of the textbook won't work).
- Wednesday, December 15 at 11:59pm PST.

• No numbers, no type predicates, no meta-logical predicates, no cuts or negation; just the Prolog you learned

• The key is implementing resolution, unification, and backtracking correctly. You will use the resolution and

 C, C++, Java, Python, R5RS Scheme (#lang r5rs in DrRacket), Racket (#lang racket in DrRacket). • If you want to use a different language, ask me in advance (however, logic programming languages are

• May work with one partner. Only one partner has to submit, but both names need to be in the submission files.

• Feel free to test your interpreter on your Project #4 submissions (though any code taking advantage of features

• Due date: Sunday, December 12 at 11:59pm Pacific Standard Time. Late submissions will be accepted until







The specifications for Projects #5 and #6 will be posted no later than Saturday morning.